

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,014	08/26/2003	David Dennis Latham	16210-US	3470	
30689	7590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER		
DEERE & COMPANY			UNDERWOOD	UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
ONE JOHN I	DEERE PLACE				
MOLINE, IL 61265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652	3652	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/648,014	LATHAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donald Underwood	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	cause the application to become ABANDONEI	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01/17	//06.					
	action is non-final.					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)	Λ. Π. I	(DTO 448)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6, 8-18, 22-24, 28, 29, 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apgar, et al. or Abe, et al. in view of Gilstrap.

Note elements 62, 64 in Apgar are synonymous with applicants' mast, 86 is a loading bearing support and 92 is a pin support. While 86 and 92 in Apgar are welded together, it would have been obvious to construct these pieces to be screwed together in view of the teaching in Gilstrap that fastening means are interchangeable. See Gilstrap, column 2, 59-63.

Note elements 23, 42 in Abe are synonymous with applicaants' mast, 20 is a load bearing support and 41 is a pin support. While 20 and 41 appear to be welded together

Art Unit: 3652

it would have been obvious to construct these pieces to be screwed together in view of the teaching in Gilstrap.

Regarding claim 6, the pin support could be made of a casting instead of welded parts. The method of manufacture of a part can not serve as a basis for patentability in an apparatus claim.

Regarding claims 8, 14, 17, 23 and 29, note the pin support in each reference comprises two pin holes and an access hole. The pin would be inserted through the first pin hole, through the access hole and into the second pin hole. These last two steps, i. e., through the access hole and into the second pin hole would meet the claim. Moreover, this assembly step has no bearing in apparatus claims 8, 14 and 17.

Regarding claim 15, Apgar's pin support contains a space like that shown by 108a in applicants' figure 3.

Claims 7, 19, 20, 21, 25, 26, 27, 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe, et al. in view of Gilstrap as applied to claims 1, 16, 25 and 28 above, and further in view of Mandon.

It would have been obvious to use any conventional boom on the frame in Abe including one as taught by Mandon.

Regarding claims 19 and 26, lever 68 in Mandon is shaped like lever 83 in applicants' figure 2.

Regarding claims 21 and 27, Abe's pin support comprises a space which broadly comprises a hole.

Art Unit: 3652

Applicants' comments regarding item 7 of the Office action mailed )7/15/05 are noted. Item 7 was inadvertently included. The examiner regrets any inconvenience.

Applicants' arguments regarding his newly added fastener have been carefully considered. The use of a fastener in lieu of a bond is taught by Gilstrap, art of record. This teaching was noted by the examiner in the Office action mailed 10/14/04.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Underwood whose telephone number is 571-272-6933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thursday 7:30-4:30.

Art Unit: 3652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Underwood
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3652

031406